UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,954	05/22/2006	Yuan-Yong Yan	P03096US2A (BJ001d)	9285
7590 06/26/2009 Bridgestone Americas Holding Inc Chief Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER	
			BOYLE, ROBERT C	
1200 Firestone Parkway Akron, OH 44317-0001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/579,954	YAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT C. BOYLE	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MORE OF T	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> .  2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  The 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 21-25 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-3, 21-25 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	awn from consideration.	
	oor	
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examir</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E</li> </ul>	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1796

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 8, 2009 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Pending claims are claims 1-3 and 21-25. Claims 4-20 and 26-35 have been cancelled.
- 3. Any rejections stated in the previous Office Action and not repeated below are withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1796

6. Claim 25 recites "substantially random interpolymer..." The term "substantially" in claim 25 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoxmeier (US 6,258,891) in view of Inoue et al. (US 6,294,624).
- 9. As to claim 1, Hoxmeier teaches a method of making a polymer where a living polymer is reacted with a cyclic siloxane and to form a living block copolymer which can be functionalized with an amino group (abstract; column 1; lines 29-67; column 2, lines 4-41; column 3, lines 10-65; column 4, lines 20-46). Hoxmeier does not teach that the amino group has an active hydrogen on the amino nitrogen atom.
- 10. Inoue teaches the functionalization of a diene polymer with an amine compound where the amine compound has hydrogen atoms attached to it (abstract; column 2, lines 34-60; column 3, lines 45-54; column 4, lines 36-51; column 5, lines 1-25; column 9, lines 20-67; Table 2). It would have been obvious to use the amines of lines with the

Art Unit: 1796

method of Hoxmeier because Hoxmeier recites that amino compounds can be used as functional groups and Inoue teaches that amine functionalized polymers have a higher gel content, modulus elasticity, rolling resistance index and wet skid resistance index (Inoue: Table 2).

- 11. As to claims 2-3 and 21-23, Hoxmeier teaches hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane and octamethylcyclobutasiloxane (column 3, lines 10-31; column 4, lines 20-46).
- 12. As to claim 24, Hoxmeier teaches anionic living polymerization in a solution where the PE wax is the solvent (column 4, lines 20-46). Inoue teaches anionic living polymerization in a solution (column 9, lines 20-67).
- 13. As to claim 25, Inoue teaches the copolymer is a copolymer of butadiene and cyclooctadiene (column 9, lines 20-67) and Inoue teaches using butadiene and styrene copolymers (column 1, lines 11-13; column 7, lines 1-11).
- 14. Claims 1-3 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoxmeier (US 6,258,891) in view of Labauze et al. (US 5,811,479). The discussion with respect to Hoxmeier as set forth in paragraphs 8-13 above is incorporated here by reference.
- 15. As to claim 1, Hoxmeier teaches a method of making a polymer where a living polymer is reacted with a cyclic siloxane and to form a living block copolymer which can be functionalized with an amino group (abstract; column 1; lines 29-67; column 2, lines 4-41; column 3, lines 10-65; column 4, lines 20-46). Hoxmeier does not teach that the amino group has an active hydrogen on the amino nitrogen atom.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,954

Art Unit: 1796

16. Labauze teaches functionalizing diene polymers with a cyclic siloxane followed by an amine with hydrogen atoms attached to the nitrogen atom (abstract; column 2, line 2-column 4, lines 7; column 4, line 62-column 5, line 19; column 8, line 46-column 11, line 5). It would have been obvious to use the amines of Labauze with the method of Hoxmeier because Hoxmeier recites that amino compounds can be used as functional groups and Labauze teaches the amino group gives increased hysteresis properties of the polymer (column 11, lines 1-3; Tables I-III).

Page 5

- 17. As to claims 2-3 and 21-23, Hoxmeier teaches hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane and octamethylcyclobutasiloxane (column 3, lines 10-31; column 4, lines 20-46).
- 18. As to claim 24, Hoxmeier teaches anionic living polymerization in a solution where the PE wax is the solvent (column 4, lines 20-46). Labauze teaches anionic living polymerization in a solution (column 8, line 46-column 9, line 18).
- 19. As to claim 25, Inoue teaches the copolymer is a copolymer of butadiene and cyclooctadiene (column 9, lines 20-67) and Labauze teaches using butadiene and styrene copolymers (column 3, lines 41-67).

# Response to Arguments

- 20. Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 2009 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The anticipation rejection over Labauze presented in the previous Office Action is withdrawn.
- 21. Applicant argues and submits a 132 Declaration by David Lawson stating that the copolymers of Labauze are quenched by reaction with a proton donor before reacted

Art Unit: 1796

with the amine compound. Given that, the 102 rejection over Labauze is withdrawn.

However, for the reasons set forth in paragraphs 14-19 above, Labauze can still be

legitimately applied in combination with Hoxmeier.

Art Unit: 1796

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT C. BOYLE whose telephone number is (571)270-7347. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00AM-5:00PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571)272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. C. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Application/Control Number: 10/579,954

Page 8

Art Unit: 1796